

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

FRANK M. PECK,

Plaintiff,

v.

THOMAS, *et al.*,

Defendants.

3:09-cv-00381-LRH-VPC

ORDER

Before this Court is the Report and Recommendation of U.S. Magistrate Judge Valerie P. Cooke (#109<sup>1</sup>) entered on February 11, 2013, recommending granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment (#92) filed on October 29, 2012. Plaintiff filed his Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#113) on February 25, 2013, and Defendants filed their Response to Plaintiff's Objections (#115) on March 13, 2013. Plaintiff filed his Reply to Response to Objection to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations (#118) on March 25, 2013. This action was referred to the Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 1B 1-4 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of Nevada.

The Court has conducted its *de novo* review in this case, has fully considered the objections of the Plaintiff, the response of the Defendants, the pleadings and memoranda of the parties and other

<sup>1</sup>Refers to court's docket number.

1 relevant matters of record pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (B) and Local Rule IB 3-2. The Court  
2 determines that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (#109) entered on February 11,  
3 2013, should be adopted and accepted. Fundamentally, this is an action alleging excessive force and  
4 evidence taken in its most favorable view to Plaintiff does not support an excessive force case under  
5 the law.

6 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation  
7 (#109) entered on February 11, 2013, is adopted and accepted, and Defendants' Motion for Summary  
8 Judgment (#92) is GRANTED.

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 DATED this 29th day of March, 2013.



11  
12 LARRY R. HICKS  
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26